

Ricardo S. Sánchez Peña, Joseba Quevedo Casín and Vicenç Puig Cayuela (Eds.)

Identification and Control

The Gap between Theory and Practice

Foreword

This book is an ambitious attempt to bridge the infamous gap between theory and practice in control. The book has ten chapters, written by seasoned researchers from all over the world. It is organized in four parts: Large-scale Problems, Aerospace, Vision and Sound and Electromechanical, and covers a very wide range of problems from chemical reactors to magnetic bearings. In spite of the wide diversity of topics and authors a coherent presentation is obtained by a uniform organization imposed by the editors. Each chapter begins with a brief summary of the theory and a description of the application, followed by results of simulations or experiments and conclusions. The problem descriptions and the discussions about the relevance of the theory are more detailed than presentations normally found in papers. The book is a nice complement to traditional textbooks and the wide range of topics illustrate the richness and ubiquity of identification and control.

Santa Barbara, California, USA
March 2007

Karl Johan Åström

Preface

System theory, in particular, automatic control and system identification have experienced a fast evolution in the past decades. Many new methods have been developed, performance requirements in traditional engineering areas have significantly increased and new and more demanding applications in other areas of engineering and science have appeared. Many textbooks have been written that present the relevant theory and sometimes “practical” examples to which the theory has been applied. Other books focus directly on the practical issues involved, leaving the theory out. However, there is still an important gap between theory and its application to practical problems. Engineering decisions are usually made without the complete assessment of existing theoretical tools. Many times, the key to the applicability of new methods is based on such decisions. Hence, a problem appears when either theory is lacking – it trails behind the practical issues, or when engineering decisions are taken without the knowledge of recent theoretical developments. Usually the theory/practice gap is covered by extensive simulations and/or experimental testing. There are very few (if any) situations in which the theory “fits” the practical application without any extra modification. This gap is even more important in critical situations such as nuclear plants and space applications, where the misfit between theory and practice could lead to dangerous or disastrous scenarios. Nevertheless, this gap is the motor that keeps theoreticians and practitioners active in developing new techniques and applying them in all areas of engineering. The purpose of this book is to present some examples of this gap and in this way serve as a reference, where new applied research areas are pointed out. All chapters are written by

textbook authors involved in “real world” applications from different areas of engineering: acoustics, aerospace, chemical, computer vision, electromechanical, industrial and mining. The chapters are organized with a similar format: (1) a brief theoretical background section with references to previous works; (2) the description of the application(s); (3) a presentation of simulations and/or experimental results, and (4) conclusions highlighting the discrepancy between theory and practice. The latter is what distinguishes this book from others: the gap is deliberately exposed, not hidden. Furthermore, in each chapter the complete analysis and design process is covered, describing practical issues and decisions that accommodate the existing theory to the particular problem. The book will be useful for engineers facing complex applications and willing to use recent methods of identification and control in solving them, in order to take sound engineering decisions. In addition, applied researchers looking for areas in which to contribute in reducing the theory/practice gap and exploring practical issues should also benefit greatly from it. The chapters describe how different identification and control techniques are applied to a wide variety of engineering applications. The identification methods go from parametric identification of linear and nonlinear models, to robust set membership identification and model (in)validation. Control techniques range from stochastic optimal control to model predictive or robust H_∞ and μ -synthesis control. Also, fault tolerant and linear parameter varying (LPV) control, and the recent area of hybrid systems control techniques, have been used. Among the applications we can mention: mine planning, polymerization reactors, a copper foundry process, and the sewer network of Barcelona. Also, some electromechanical processes such as active transmission and suspension, and a magnetic-bearing rotor appear. Computer vision, aerospace applications like the NASA-JPL flexible structure or aeroservoelasticity in the F18 aircraft and acoustic-noise attenuation, are also included. Finally, we would like to thank all the authors who contributed to this book, for producing an excellent collection of high-quality technical material. We would also like to thank Springer UK personnel, particularly Oliver Jackson, who helped us greatly in the development of this project, and our colleague Ari Ingimundarson for refining part of this text.

Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain,
March 2007

Ricardo S. Sánchez Peña
Joseba Quevedo Casín
Vicenç Puig Cayuela

CHAPTERS

1 Identification and Control of Polymerization Reactors

Eric J. Hukkanen, Jeremy G. VanAntwerp, Richard D. Braatz

| | |
|---|----|
| 1.1 Background | 3 |
| 1.2 Free-radical Polymerization | 5 |
| 1.3 Simulation and Experimental Results | 13 |
| 1.4 Discrepancies Between Theory and Experimental Results | 33 |
| References | 39 |

2 Open-cut Mine Planning via Closed-loop Receding-horizon Optimal Control

Cristian R. Rojas, Graham C. Goodwin, María M. Seron, Meimei Zhang

| | |
|--------------------------------------|----|
| 2.1 Background | 43 |
| 2.2 Experiment Description | 45 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 2.3 Simulation Results | 50 |
| 2.4 Discrepancy Between Theory and Experiments | 56 |
| References | 60 |

3 Energy Saving in a Copper Smelter by means of Model Predictive Control

Carlos Bordons, Manuel R. Arahal, Eduardo F. Camacho, José M. Tejera

| | |
|--|----|
| 3.1 Background | 63 |
| 3.2 ExperimentDescription | 66 |
| 3.3 Results | 76 |
| 3.4 Discrepancies between Theory and Practice. | 84 |
| References | 85 |

4 On Hybrid Model Predictive Control of Sewer Networks

Carlos Ocampo-Martinez, Alberto Bemporad, Ari Ingimundarson, Vicenç Puig Cayuela

| | |
|--|-----|
| 4.1 Background | 87 |
| 4.2 HybridModeling and Control of Sewage Systems | 90 |
| 4.3 Simulation and Results | 102 |
| 4.4 Discrepancies and Misfits | 107 |
| References | 111 |

5 Nonlinear System Identification of Aeroelastic Systems: A Structure-detection Approach

Sunil L. Kukreja, Martin J. Brenner

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| 5.1 Background | 117 |
| 5.2 Application I | 125 |
| 5.3 LASSO Applications. | 136 |
| 5.4 Concluding Remarks | 142 |
| References | 142 |

6 Modeling and Control of Flexible Structures in Frequency Domain

Luis Alvergue, Jie Chen, Guoxiang Gu

| | |
|---|-----|
| 6.1 Background | 147 |
| 6.2 Robust Stabilization | 148 |
| 6.3 RobustModeling | 153 |
| 6.4 Simulation Results for the JPL Flexible Structure | 158 |
| 6.5 Conclusion | 163 |
| References | 164 |

7 Robust Identification and Model (In)Validation of Active-vision Systems

Tamer Inanc, Mario Sznaier, Octavia Camps

| | |
|--|-----|
| 7.1 Background | 167 |
| 7.2 Description of Application Example | 175 |
| 7.3 ExperimentalResults | 178 |
| 7.4 Discrepancies/Misfits between Theory and ExperimentalResults | 183 |
| 7.5 Conclusions | 195 |

| | |
|----------------------|-----|
| References | 198 |
|----------------------|-----|

8 Identification and Control Structure Design in Active (Acoustic) Noise Control

Miquel A. Cugueró, Bernardo Morcego, Ricardo S. Sánchez Peña

| | |
|---|-----|
| 8.1 Background | 203 |
| 8.2 Acoustic-noise Experimental Setup | 220 |
| 8.3 Identification and Control Experiments. | 224 |
| 8.4 Compromises and Discrepancies between Theory and Practice | 239 |
| References | 242 |

9 Iterative Identification and Control Design: Methodology and Applications

Pedro Albertos, Alicia Esparza, Antonio Sala

| | |
|---|-----|
| 9.1 Background | 247 |
| 9.2 Description of the Applications | 252 |
| 9.3 Simulations and Experimental Examples | 255 |
| 9.4 Discrepancies/Misfits between Theory and Experimental Results | 271 |
| References | 274 |

10 Classical, Robust and LPV Control of a Magnetic-bearing Experiment

Alejandro S. Ghersin, Roy S. Smith, Ricardo S. Sánchez Peña

| | |
|---|-----|
| 10.1 Introduction and Background | 278 |
| 10.2 The Magnetic-bearing Experiment | 292 |
| 10.3 Control and Simulation Results | 309 |
| 10.4 Discrepancies between Theory and Practice. | 320 |
| References | 323 |

| | |
|-----------------|-----|
| Index | 327 |
|-----------------|-----|

List of Contributors

Pedro Albertos

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia,
Dept. Systems Engineering and Control,
P.O. Box. 22012, E-46071, Valencia,
Spain
pedro@aii.upv.es

Luis Alvergue

Louisiana State University,
Department of Electrical and Computer
Engineering,
Baton Rouge, LA 70803-5901, USA
lalver1@lsu.edu

Manuel R. Arahal

Universidad de Sevilla,
Departamento de Ingeniería de
Sistemas y Automática,
Sevilla, Spain
arahal@esi.us.es

Alberto Bemporad

Università di Siena,
Dipartimento di Ingegneria
dell'Informazione
Via Roma 56, I-53100
Siena, Italy
bemporad@dii.unisi.it

Carlos Bordons

Universidad de Sevilla,
Departamento de Ingeniería de
Sistemas y Automática,
Sevilla, Spain
bordons@esi.us.es

Richard D. Braatz

University of Illinois at Urbana-
Champaign,
Department of Chemical and Biomolecular
Engineering,
293 Roger Adams Laboratory, Box C-3,
600 South Mathews Avenue, Urbana, IL
61801, USA
braatz@uiuc.edu

Martin J. Brenner

NASA Dryden Flight Research Center,
Aerostructures Branch, Edwards, CA,
USA
Martin.J.Brenner@nasa.gov

Eduardo F. Camacho

Universidad de Sevilla,
Departamento de Ingeniería de
Sistemas y Automática,
Sevilla, Spain
eduardo@esi.us.es

Octavia Camps

Northeastern University,
ECE Department,
Boston, MA 02115, USA
camps@ece.neu.edu

Jie Chen

University of California,
Department of Electrical Engineering,
Riverside, CA 92521, USA
jchen@ee.ucr.edu

Miquel A. Cugueró

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya,
Sistemes Avançats de Control,
Rbla. Sant Nebridi 10,
Terrassa, 08222 Barcelona, Spain
miquel.angel.cuguer@upc.edu

Alicia Esparza

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia,
Dept. Systems Engineering and Control,
P.O. Box. 22012, E-46071, Valencia,
Spain
alespei@isa.upv.es

Alejandro S. Ghersin

Universidad Nacional de Quilmes,
Ingeniería en Automatización y Control,
and Universidad de Buenos Aires,
Facultad de Ingeniería,
Buenos Aires, Argentina
aghersin@unq.edu.ar

Graham Goodwin

The University of Newcastle,
Centre for Complex Dynamic Systems
and Control,
School of Electrical Engineering and
Computer Science,
Callaghan NSW 2308, Australia
graham.goodwin@newcastle.edu.au

Guoxiang Gu

Louisiana State University,
Department of Electrical and Computer
Engineering,
Baton Rouge, LA 70803-5901, USA
gqu@lsu.edu

Eric J. Hukkanen

Real-Time Analytics,
Mettler-Toledo AutoChem, Inc.,
14833 NE 87th Street, Redmond, WA
98052, USA
eric.hukkanen@mt.com

Tamer Inanc

University of Louisville,
ECE Department,
Louisville, KY 40292, USA
t.inanc@louisville.edu

Ari Ingimundarson

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya,
Sistemes Avançats de Control,
Rbla. Sant Nebridi 10,
Terrassa, 08222 Barcelona, Spain
ari.ingimundarson@upc.edu

Sunil L. Kukreja

NASA Dryden Flight Research Center,
Aerostructures Branch, Edwards, CA,
USA
Sunil.Kukreja@nasa.gov

Bernardo Morcego

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya,
Sistemes Avançats de Control,
Rbla. Sant Nebridi 10,
Terrassa, 08222 Barcelona, Spain
bernardo.morcego@upc.edu

Carlos Ocampo Martínez

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya,
Sistemes Avançats de Control,
Rbla. Sant Nebridi 10,
Terrassa, 08222 Barcelona, Spain
carlos.ocampo@upc.edu

Vicenç Puig Cayuela

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya,
Sistemes Avançats de Control,
Rbla. Sant Nebridi 10,
Terrassa, 08222 Barcelona, Spain
vicenc.puig@upc.edu

Cristian R. Rojas

The University of Newcastle,
Centre for Complex Dynamic Systems
and Control,
School of Electrical Engineering and
Computer Science,
Callaghan NSW 2308, Australia
cristian.rojas
@studentmail.newcastle.edu.au

Antonio Sala

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia,
Dept. Systems Engineering and Control,
P.O. Box. 22012, E-46071, Valencia,
Spain
asala@isa.upv.es

Ricardo S. Sánchez Peña

ICREA and Universitat Politècnica de
Catalunya,
Sistemes Avançats de Control,
Rbla. Sant Nebridi 10,
Terrassa, 08222 Barcelona, Spain
ricardo.sanchez-pena@upc.edu

María M. Seron

The University of Newcastle,
Centre for Complex Dynamic Systems
and Control,
School of Electrical Engineering and
Computer Science,
Callaghan NSW 2308, Australia
maria.seron@newcastle.edu.au

Roy S. Smith

University of California,
Department of Electrical and Computer
Engineering
Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA
roy@ece.ucsb.edu

Mario Sznaiier

Northeastern University,
ECE Department,
Boston, MA 02115, USA
msznaiier@ece.neu.edu

José M. Tejera

Atlantic Copper,
Departamento de Servicios Generales,
Electricidad e Instrumentación,
Huelva, Spain.
Jose-Maria-Tejera@fmi.com

Jeremy G. VanAntwerp

Calvin College, Department of
Engineering,
1726 Knollcrest Circle, Grand Rapids,

MI 49546, USA
jva@calvin.edu

Meimei Zhang

The University of Newcastle,
Centre for Complex Dynamic Systems
and Control,
School of Electrical Engineering and
Computer Science,
Callaghan NSW 2308, Australia
MeiMei.Zhang@BHPBilliton.com